

**DOCKET NO. 1657** 

JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

APR 1 1 2006

FILED CLERK'S OFFICE

## BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE VIOXX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

BEFORE WM. TERRELL HODGES,\* CHAIRMAN, JOHN F. KEENAN, D. LOWELL JENSEN, J. FREDERICK MOTZ,\* ROBERT L. MILLER, JR., KATHRYN H. VRATIL AND DAVID R. HANSEN, JUDGES OF THE PANEL

## TRANSFER ORDER

Presently before the Panel are motions, pursuant to Rule 7.4, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), by plaintiffs in 94 actions and by health care defendants in the Northern District of Ohio action to vacate the Panel's orders conditionally transferring the actions listed on Schedule A to the Eastern District of Louisiana for inclusion in the Section 1407 proceedings occurring there in this docket. Merck & Co., Inc., favors inclusion of these actions in MDL-1657 proceedings. Medco Health Solutions, Inc., supports inclusion of the Western District of Kentucky action in which it is a defendant in MDL-1657.

On the basis of the papers filed and hearing session held, the Panel finds that these actions involve common questions of fact with actions in this litigation previously transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana. Transfer of the actions to that district for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings occurring there will serve the convenience of the parties and witnesses and promote the just and efficient conduct of this litigation. Any pending or anticipated motions to remand to state court can be presented to and decided by the transferee judge. See, e.g., In re Ivy, 901 F.2d 7 (2d Cir. 1990); In re Prudential Insurance Company of America Sales Practices Litigation, 170 F.Supp.2d 1346, 1347-48 (J.P.M.L. 2001). The Panel further finds that transfer of these actions is appropriate for the reasons expressed in the original order directing centralization in this docket. In that order, the Panel held that the Eastern District of Louisiana was a proper Section 1407 forum for actions involving claims of liability for allegedly adverse effects arising from the ingestion of Vioxx. See In re Vioxx Products Liability Litigation, 360 F.Supp.2d 1352 (J.P.M.L. 2005).

Some opposing plaintiffs and the health care defendants argue that the presence of individual and/or local questions of fact as well as differing legal theories should militate against inclusion of these actions in MDL-1657 proceedings. We are unpersuaded by these arguments. Inclusion of these actions in Section 1407 proceedings has the salutary effect of placing all the related actions before a single

Judges Hodges and Motz took no part in the decision of this matter.

judge who can formulate a pretrial program that: 1) prevents repetition of previously considered matters; 2) allows pretrial proceedings with respect to any non-common issues to proceed concurrently with pretrial proceedings on common issues, In re Multi-Piece Rim Products Liability Litigation, 464 F.Supp. 969, 974 (J.P.M.L. 1979); and 3) ensures that pretrial proceedings will be conducted in a manner leading to the just and expeditious resolution of all actions to the overall benefit of the parties. See In re StarLink Corn Products Liability Litigation, 152 F.Supp.2d 1378 (J.P.M.L. 2001). It may be, on further refinement of the issues and close scrutiny by the transferee judge, that some claims or actions can be remanded to their transferor districts for trial in advance of the other actions in the transferee district. Should the transferee judge deem remand of any claims or actions appropriate, procedures are available whereby this may be accomplished with a minimum of delay. See Rule 7.6, R.P.J.P.M.L., 199 F.R.D. at 436-38.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, these actions are transferred to the Eastern District of Louisiana and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Eldon E. Fallon for inclusion in the coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings occurring there in this docket.

FOR THE PANEL:

John F. Keenan Acting Chairman

#### SCHEDULE A

## MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

### Middle District of Alabama

Michael D. May v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-998

### Eastern District of California

Clara Puma v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-2203 Vance Skillsky v. Merck & Co., Inc, et al., C.A. No. 2:05-2218 Clayton Welch v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-2267

#### Northern District of California

Charles Axworthy, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-4646 Luisa Greer, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-4657

### Middle District of Florida

Michael C. Richardson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-1193

#### Southern District of Illinois

Gloria Jackson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-822 Barbara Allen, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-841 Barbara Beyer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-865

#### Eastern District of Kentucky

Brenda Sparks, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:05-201 Kenneth Michael Short, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 5:05-426 Lawrence K. Butcher v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 6:05-570 Jerry Anderson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-573 John Mullins, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 6:05-586 Juanetta Bush, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:05-587

### Western District of Kentucky

Debra Humburg, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-173

James L. Bragg, Jr., etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-175

Riley Wells v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-177

Patricia Oakes, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-178

Dorothy Payne, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-179

Ethel Wolf v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-180

- A2 -

## Western District of Kentucky (Continued)

John Nowak, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-181 Randall Pyles, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-186 Thelma Jean Phillips, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-200 Carey L. Mynhier, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-633 William Clayton, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-637 Charles Schultise v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-638 Margaret Vandivier v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-639 Mary E. Lester v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-640 Sabina Chambers v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-641 Richard W. Allen, Sr., et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-643 Glendon Dixon v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A., No. 3:05-644 Jeffrey Joyce v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-645 James A. Daniels et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-651 Lawrence Shepherd, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-652 Pamela Winters, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-654 Jennifer M. Popp, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-655 Isabella B. Cummings, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-657 Fleam Leach v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-660 Tim Ellis, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-661 Barbara Keeling v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-662 Marlynn Fox, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-663 Sue R. Gardner, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-664 Tina Day v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-665 Hazel McKee, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-666 Olando Simpson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-667 Michael F. Simpson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-668 Susan Walker, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-670 Daniel Ryan v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-671 Sharon Schafer, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-672 Joan Anne Schwartz, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-673 Perry Schneider, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-674 Mary Louise Schmidt, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-675 Manson Whelan v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-676 David G. Thomas v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-677 A. Walter Tyson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-678 Daniel Gill v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-679 Carl Ansert, Jr., et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-680 Onna James, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-684 Garlin Cupp, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-686 Martha Sue Taylor v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-692 Sarah E. Nichols, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-693 William Mohon, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-694 Scarlett Macy, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-695

Filed 04/19/2006

## Western District of Kentucky (Continued)

Shannon M. Age, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-696 Faye Byers, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-711 Dora Gorman, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-712 Cleo D. Gilbert, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-713 Lorenia Henson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-714 Darrell G. Moore, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-715 Billy Joe Logsdon, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-717 Kathryn O'Neill v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-718 Paul E. Mangeot, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-719 Millard T. Shepherd v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:05-724 Luther B. Yount, Jr., et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-729 Ellen Rittenhouse, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-731 Ola Nelson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:05-206 Michael E. Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:05-210 Wanda Sue Parker, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:05-212

#### Eastern District of Missouri

Alene Schwent, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1745 James Coleman v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 4:05-1944 Robert Ruzicka, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 4:05-1945 Thelma Zimmerman, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1955 Samella Butler, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1956 Steve Pickard, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1969 Martha Maxwell, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1970 Roy Lacey, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1977 Brian Lawson, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-2046 Joy Cora v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-2225

### Western District of Missouri

John Webster, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:05-1035

#### Southern District of New York

Jose Rivera, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-9383

#### Northern District of Ohio

William Jeffries, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-2547

- A4 -

# Eastern District of Tennessee

James E. Queen, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:05-306

# Middle District of Tennessee

Ruth Faulkner, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:05-1006